Lana Lokteff: Universal Basic Income Will Have a Means Test, Which is a Contradiction in Terms

tonyodarg.wordpress.com/2020/11/16/lana-lokteff-universal-basic-income

Tony Odarg November 16, 2020

It is necessary, in order to be a true dissident, to not just to redpilled on race or the JQ or IQ. It is necessary to be holistically redpilled, in the sense that you are not simply changing your view on a few top-down issues, but genuinely see the world in a radically different way than before. Alas, so many dissidents have not done this. They sound great on crime stats or the need of homogenous homelands, but engage with them on economics, political strategy or the ideals of Enlightenment liberalism (e.g. freedom, individualism, government, etc) and they sound indistinguishable from Reaganites. We should not just be dissenting from the left or diversity. We need to dissent from all that is liberal.

Recently, Lana Lokteff, a producer for Red Ice TV, posted the following on twitter:

> UBI is a very very bad idea. The government will require you to follow a guideline of acceptable behavior and hoops you must jump through to get your little allowance every month. Can you guess which ones? Never trade freedom for a false sense of security.

Right, because dissidents are having their Medicare taken away from them in droves and their mail is certainly not being delivered. This is truly paranoid and a paranoia not based in reality. Governmental policies are not policing dissidents, it is the private sector, yes empowered by lack of government oversight, that is hampering our ability to get anything done. The government doesn't need to abuse us, the

corporations that fund their campaigns do it well enough. This is why a government control of social media or banks would be truly devastating to public hall monitors like SPLC who like to start trouble and cause violence against otherwise normal people.

And as for UBI, White people in every Western country are having their coffers drained in order to subsidize the offspring of feral apes and mass migration of rapey black cocks. The contention that UBI would cause White people to pay even more to non-White welfare recipients is already happening. Most of our taxes go to fund our own genocide. With a UBI, which is by nature universal, in other words no strings attached, would at least allow suffering Whites to get something in return, however small. It is a short-term solution. However, none of this matters because this whole conversation is absurd. What Lana is describing is *not* universal basic income. The whole point of *universal* basic income is that there is no means test. If there is, it isn't universal and consequentially, isn't universal basic income. It might be an extension of the welfare state, but it isn't UBI. This whole discussion is a contradiction in terms and shows how shallow the thought of some dissidents is and the fact that she couches it in freedom, aka the freedom to go broke, is even more troubling.

Links

Reagan: https://twitter.com/heraclitean11/status/1328235991325401090
Archive: https://archive.vn/7NdMa

UBI: https://twitter.com/LanaLokteff/status/1328081934627508228
Archive: https://archive.vn/xzAnK

Bio: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Lana_Lokteff
Archive: https://archive.vn/11bRY